



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/060,385	02/01/2002	Jacqui Gates	004770.00525	8435
22907 7590 10/01/2007 BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. 1100 13th STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051			EXAMINER DAO, MINH D	
			ART UNIT 2618	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 10/01/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/060,385

Applicant(s)

GATES, JACQUI

Examiner

MINH D. DAO

Art Unit

2618

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 August 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 21,23,27,30 and 44-50 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 21 and 44 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 23,27,30,45-50 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- 1) ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2) ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - 3) ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23,27,30,45-50 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 23,45-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Metso et al. (US 5,920,826) in view of Kamada et al. (US 6,192,258).

Regarding claim 23, Metso teaches a method comprising:

- a) accessing a list of contacts stored in the memory of a mobile phone, each contact in the list of contacts having at least one telephone number field for storing an associated telephone number and a name field for storing an associated contact name; b) selecting a contact from the list through an user interface having a scroll key; c) receiving a short cut key activation to compose a text message (see Metso, figs.

Art Unit: 2618

9 and 10; col. 9, lines 22-26; col. 11, lines 22-67). However, Metso does not disclose d) upon activation of the short cut key, displaying a screen to compose a text message; and e) if the selected contact includes at least one telephone number in the associated telephone number field, automatically entering the telephone number into a recipient address field of the displayed compose text message screen. These limitations are taught by Kamada (see figs. 7,8; col. 8, line 16 to col. 9, line 24). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the teaching of Kamada to Metso for the benefit of being able to create and edit a e-mail message as taught by Kamada.

Regarding claim 45, since the claim includes the same limitations as that claim 23, the rejection of claim 23 is herein incorporated.

Regarding claims 46-49, see Metso, figs. 9 and 10; col. 9, lines 22-26; col. 11, lines 22-67 and Kamada (figs. 7,8; col. 8, line 16 to col. 9, line 24).

Regarding claim 50, the rejection of claim 23 is herein incorporated.

3. Claims 27,30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Metso et al. (US 5,920,826) in view Laursen et al. (US 2004/0229595).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 27, the rejection to claim 23 over Metso et al. (US 5,920,826) as set forth above is herein incorporated. In addition, Metso fails to teach entering URL contact list and receiving a short cut key activation; and upon receipt of the short cut key activation~ connecting to the URLas specified in the claim. Laursen et al. (US 2004/0229595), in an analogous art, teaches entering a Web page (URL address) and composing an e-mail message (see figs. 9,10; sections [0060-0062]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the teaching of Laursen to Metso for the benefit of being able to quickly enter a web site without having go through several steps as taught by Laursen.

Regarding claim 30, the combination of Metso and Kamada teaches that each contact has at least one of a telephone number and a URL address field for storing at least one of an associated telephone number and additional URL information therewith in addition to the e-mail address field, the user interface providing short cut key being operable to either access a screen for composing an e-mail message, access a screen for composing a text message or connect to the URL address in dependence on a default programming option selected by the user (see Kamada, figs. 7 and 8; col. 8, 16 to col. 9, line 24).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 21 and 44 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH D. DAO whose telephone number is 571-272-7851. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MATTHEW ANDERSON can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MINH DAO
AU 2618

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Minh Dao', is written over the typed name and unit number.